

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 ETTA JOHNSON,
8 Plaintiff,
9 v.
10 ALAMEDA COUNTY SHERIFF'S
11 Defendants.

Case No. [20-cv-08283-DMR](#)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED
FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE**

Re: Dkt. No. 25

12
13 On November 29, 2021, the court granted in part and denied in part Defendants' motion to
14 dismiss. [Docket No. 25.] The court granted Plaintiff leave to file her Second Amended
15 Complaint by December 29, 2021. Nothing has been filed. Accordingly, the court orders Plaintiff
16 to respond in writing by January 19, 2022 and explain why this case should not be dismissed for
17 failure to prosecute. She must also file her Second Amended Complaint by that date. Failure to
18 respond by January 19, 2022 may result in dismissal of this action.

19 The court refers Plaintiff to the section "Representing Yourself" on the Court's website,
20 located at <https://cand.uscourts.gov/pro-se-litigants/>, as well as the Court's Legal Help Centers for
21 unrepresented parties. Parties may schedule an appointment by calling 415-782-8982 or emailing
22 fedpro@sfbar.org.

23
24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 Dated: January 7, 2022


26 Donna M. Ryu
27 United States Magistrate Judge
28